	SBC	Ameritech
Resold Lines	650,000	635,000
Unbundled Loops	60,000	94,600
Unbundled Switch Ports	343	0
Active Competitors	50+ ²	50+
Interconnection and Resale Agreements	374	175
Interconnection Trunks	353,000	180,000
Collocation Arrangements	548	450
Wire Centers	173	260
Pending	443	299
		~ - '

Sources: ¹SBC and Ameritech internal information unless otherwise noted. ²SBC Section 271 applications filed with various state commissions; New Paradigm Resources Group and Connecticut Research, The 1998 CLEC Report: Annual Report on Local Telecommunications Competition, 9th ed. (1998).

	St. Louis	Chicago
Resold Lines	7,439	173,000
Unbundled Loops	0	4,674
Interconnection Trunks	7,670	69,000
Collocation Arrangements	5	137
Wire Centers	2	58
Pending	24	179

Resale			Unbundled Elements	Full Facilities	
ARKANSAS					
14,600 lines			1,200 unbundled loops	5.900 interconnection trunks	
Arkansas Comm South	Fast Connections	MCI/WorldCom	e.spire	ALLTEL	e.spire
			MCI/WorldCom		MCI/WorldCom
e.spire	Max-Tel Communications	Reconex	MCI/WorldCom	Entergy Hyperion	WC I/ WorldCom
CALIFORNIA					
255,000 lines			52,100 unbundled loops	224,700 interconnection trunks	
Ameritech Communications	GST & Pacific Lightwave	Teligent	AT&T/TCG	AT&T/TCG	MCI/WorldCom
AT&T/TCG	GTE	Time Warner	FirstWorld	Cox	NEXTLINK
Caltech	ICG	U.S. Long Distance	ICG	ELI	PACWEST
Correctional Communications	LCI	USA Exchange	MCI/WorldCom	FirstWorld	WinStar
asy Cellular	MCI/WorldCom	WinStar	NEXTLINK	ICG	
ELÍ	Momentum Telecom	Working Assets			
Frontier	PACWEST	•	161 switch ports		
Genesis	Sprint		MCI/WorldCom		
KANSAS	Spinit		Web welldedi.		
=			1.200	1 2 420	
50,300 lines	F1.1 F1. 6		360 unbundled loops	3,400 interconnection trunks	
Comm South	Feist Long Distance Services	Universal Telephone	MCI/WorldCom	e.spire	
spire	Max-Tel Communications	Valu-Line of Kansas		MCI/WorldCom	
Fast Connections	MCI/WorldCom				
MISSOURI					
22,500 lines			1,600 unbundled loops	12,600 interconnection trunks	
Ameritech Communications	e.spire	Max-Tel Communications	e.spire	AT&T/TCG	Frontier
Birch Telecom	Fast Connections	MCI/WorldCom	MCI/WorldCom	Digital Teleport	Intermedia
Comm South	Frontier	Midwestern Tel		e spire	MCI/WorldCom
Dial U.S.	Intermedia	Omniplex			
NEVADA					
			1 2 (00 1 11 1	2 500 internal	
1,900 lines	Charal Camana institut		3,600 unbundled loops	2,500 interconnection trunks	
Nevada Telephone	Shared Communications		MCI/Worldcom	MCI/WorldCom	
(Tel	Tel-Link				
OKLAHOMA					
1,400 lines			1,300 unbundled loops	9,900 interconnection trunks	
Chickasaw Telecom Svcs	Dobson Communications/Logix	Intermedia	MCI/WorldCom	Cox	e.spire
Dial Tone Savers	e.spire	MCI/WorldCom		Dobson Communications/Logix	MCI/WorldCom
Dial Tone USA	Fast Connections				
TEXAS					
84,200 lines			1 220	01.100 :	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
American Metrocomm	Golden Harbor	Toulor Communications	330 unbundled loops	94,400 interconnection trunks	MCUNVNC
		Taylor Communications	AT&T/TCG	Access Network Services (STS)	MCI/WorldCom
American Telco	ICG	Time Warner	e.spire	American Metrocomm	OpTel
AT&T/TCG	KMC	U.S. Long Distance	MCI/WorldCom	American Telco	Taylor Communications
e.spire	MCI/WorldCom		Time Warner	AT&T/TCG	Time Warner
			U.S. Long Distance	e.spire	U.S. Long Distance
				ICG	Waller Creek
			180 switch ports	Kingsgate	WinStar
			AT&T/TCG	KMC	
			MCI/WorldCom		

Sources: SBC internal information (data on resold lines, unbundled elements, interconnection trunks). Arkansas: (as of January 1998) Affidavit of Michael L. Montgomery, attached to SBC Arkansas 271 Application (Ark. PUC filed Feb. 24, 1998). California: (as of January 1998) Affidavit of George R. Elizondo, attached to SBC California 271 Application (Cal. PUC filed Mar. 31, 1998). Kansas: (as of January 1998) Testimony of J. Gary Smith, attached to SBC Kansas 271 Application (Kan. PUC filed Feb. 17, 1998). Missouri: (as of March 1998) SBC internal information. Oklahoma: (as of January 1998) Affidavit of George R. Elizondo, attached to SBC Oklahoma 271 Application (Ok. Corp. Comm. filed Feb. 13, 1998). Texas: (as of January 1998) Affidavit of Michael L. Montgomery, attached to SBC Texas 271 Application (Tex. PUC filed Mar. 2, 1998).

Resale			Unbundled Elements	Full Facilities	
ILLINOIS					
274,000 lines 1-Point AMI AT&T/TCG Caltech International Cimco Communications Clarity Frontier Globalcom INDIANA	Global Telecom LCI LJSS General MCI/WorldCom McLeod Midcomm Midwestern Millenium Group	Omniplex One Stop Sprint United Communications Ushman USN Communications Wedgewood WinStar	24,000 unbundled loops AT&T/TCG McLeod ICG MCI/WorldCom NEXTLINK	70,000 interconnection trunks AT&T/TCG Coast to Coast Focal Communications Frontier Intermedia	MCI/WorldCom McLeod NEXTLINK WinStar
14,000 lines Annox Cimco Communications Frontier	Globalcom LCI McLeod	MCI/WorldCom USN Communications US Xchange		12,000 interconnection trunks AT&T/TCG Focal Communications Frontier Intermedia MCI/WorldCom	McLeod NEXTLINK Time Warner US Xchange
MICHIGAN 225,000 lines AT&T/TCG CMC Coast to Coast	Frontier LCI MCI/WorldCom	Millenium Group Phone Michigan USN Communications	54,000 unbundled loops AT&T/TCG Climax Dakota Services MCI/WorldCom NEXTLINK Phone Michigan TDS Metro	44,000 interconnection trunks AT&T/TCG Climax Coast to Coast	MCI/WorldCom Phone Michigan
OHIO 95,000 lines AT&T/TCG Cellular One Communications Options, Inc. Cons. Buying Group Digicom	Easton Frontier Global Telecom ICG LCI	MCI/WorldCom Millenium Group USN Communications	16,000 unbundled loops ICG MCI/WorldCom NEXTLINK	26,000 interconnection trunks AT&T/TCG Buckeye Telesystem Frontier ICG	MCI/WorldCom NEXTLINK Time Warner
WISCONSIN 38,000 lines Cimco Communications Frontier Globalcom Global Telecom KMC	MCI/WorldCom McLeod Midplains Communications Millenium Group Network Recovery	Schneider Communications TDS Metro USN Communications US Xchange WinStar	900 unbundled loops Dakota Services MCl/WorldCom TDS Metro US Xchange	9,000 interconnection trunks AT&T/TCG KMC MCI/WorldCom	TDS Metro Time Warner US Xchange

NACTOR CONTINUES AND ASSOCIATE AND ASSOCIATE

Table 5.	Local Resellers in St. Louis (7,439 resold lines)	8
	Ameritech Communications	
]	Birch Telecom	
]	Fast Connections	
]	Frontier	
	Intermedia	
I	Max-Tel Communications	
]	MCI/WorldCom	
]	Midwestern Tel	
(Omniplex	_
Source: SBC in	iternal information.	

Ta	ble 6. Local Resellers in Chicago (173,000 resold lines)
	1-Point
	AT&T/TCG
	Caltech Communications
	Cimco Communications
	Frontier
	Globalcom
	Global Telecom
	LCI
	Millenium Group
	MCI/WorldCom
	Omniplex
	USN Communications
	WinStar
urce:	Ameritech internal information.

Table 7. Competitive Landline Switches in SBC's Region ¹				
Allegiance Telecom	4	IWL Connect	2	
ALLTEL	2	Justice Technology	1	
AT&T/TCG	90	Kin Network	3	
Austin Bestline	1	KMC	2	
Birch Telecom	ı	Level 3	5	
Connect Communications	1	Linkatel	1	
CoServ	5	Mark Twain Communications	3	
Cox	3	MCI/WorldCom	82	
Cypress	I	MediaOne	9	
Digital Teleport	1	MGC Communications	25	
Dobson	6	Multimedia Hyperion	1	
Dunn & Associates	1	Multitechnology Services	3	
ELI	I	NEXTLINK	10	
Entergy Hyperion	1	North County Communications	1	
e.spire	14	Nortex	I	
Fiber Wave Telecom	2	OpTel	3	
Fibrcom	I	PACWEST	30	
FirstWorld	29	Phoenix Fiberlink	1	
Focal Communications	1	Pioneer Long Distance	5	
Frontier	2	S&T Communications	1	
Geotek	4	Taylor Communications	4	
Golden Harbor	27	Teligent	10	
Great West Services	2	Time Warner	4	
GST	16	Total Communications	2	
GTE (as a CLEC)	13	U.S. Long Distance	1	
Hyperion Telecom	1	W.T. Services	1	
ICG	36	WinStar	18	
Intermedia	6	XIT Tel & Tech	2	
		Total: 56 Companies	503	

The LERG is based on information that is provided to Bellcore by incumbent and competitive local carriers. LERG switch counts do not always agree with counts from other sources, including public statements by the carriers themselves. Some of these discrepancies are due to the blurring of definitional lines between switching entities and rate centers. The bright line that once distinguished central office switches from other switching equipment has been fading as a new generation of remote switches and remote digital terminals (RDTs) have emerged with limited switching capabilities. Data shown here include all switches designated as "local" and as "CLEC" or "CAP" in the LERG.

Source: Bellcore LERG (July 1998).

Table 8. Competitive Landline Switches in Ameritech's Region		
21st Century	1	
Allegiance Telecom	1	
AT&T/TCG	68	
Buckeye Telesystem	1	
Coast to Coast Communications	3	
Focal Communications	1	
Frontier	2	
ICG	5	
Intermedia	4	
KMC	1	
Level 3	2	
MCI/WorldCom	33	
McLeod	7	
MGC Communications	1	
NEXTLINK	7	
Phone Michigan	2	
TDS Metrocom	2	
Teligent	2	
Time Warner	4	
US Xchange	6	
WinStar	4	
Total: 21 Companies	157	

The LERG is based on information that is provided to Bellcore by incumbent and competitive local carriers. LERG switch counts do not always agree with counts from other sources, including public statements by the carriers themselves. Some of these discrepancies are due to the blurring of definitional lines between switching entities and rate centers. The bright line that once distinguished central office switches from other switching equipment has been fading as a new generation of remote switches and remote digital terminals (RDTs) have emerged with limited switching capabilities. Ameritech internal data, for example, indicate 124 competitive switches in its region. Data shown here include all switches designated as "local" and as "CLEC" or "CAP" in the LERG.

Sources: Bellcore LERG (July 1998); Ameritech internal data.

Table 9. Competitive Landline Switches in the St. Louis LATA ¹		
AT&T/TCG	7	
Digital Teleport	1	
Intermedia	3	
Mark Twain Communications	2	
MCI/WorldCom	3	
Total	16	
¹ Data include all switches designated as "local" and as "CLEC" or "CAP" in the LERG. Source: Bellcore LERG (July 1998).		

Table 10. Competitive Landline Switches in the Chicago LATA ¹		
21st Century	1	
Allegiance Telecom	1	
AT&T/TCG	20	
Focal Communications	1	
Frontier	1	
Intermedia	2	
Level 3	1	
McLeod	1	
MCI/WorldCom	10	
MGC Communications	1	
NEXTLINK	2	
Teligent	1	
WinStar	1	
Total	43	

¹LERG data include all switches designated as "local" and as "CLEC" or "CAP" in the database. Ameritech internal data indicate 37 competitive switches in the Chicago LATA.

Sources: Bellcore LERG (July 1998); Ameritech internal data.

Table 11. Selected Competitive Facilities in SBC's Region CLEC Route Miles Buildings				
CLEC	Location	Route Miles	Buildings On-Net	Switches (statewide)
ARKANSAS				
ALLTEL	Little Rock	95		2
MCI/WorldCom	Little Rock	21	28	1
Entergy Hyperion	Little Rock	114		1
e.spire	Little Rock	6	14	2
CALIFORNIA		<u> </u>		
Allegiance Telecom	PLANNED: Los Angeles (1998), San			1
rinegianee refeeom	Francisco (1998), Orange County (1999)			•
AT&T/TCG/TCI	San Diego, Anaheim, Los Angeles, San	1,077	94 (TCG)	55
rra to respirer	Jose, Oakland, San Francisco, Sacramento		, (100)	
Cox	San Diego, Orange County, Santa Barbara,	915		2
COA	Bakersfield	1.0		-
ELI	Sacramento, Los Angeles	200		1
FirstWorld	Anaheim	110		29
Focal Communications	San Jose, Oakland, San Francisco			1
GST	Riverside, Los Angeles, San Luis Obispo,			15
331	Fresno, San Francisco, Walnut Creek			1.0
GTE (as a CLEC)	Los Angeles			3
ICG	San Diego, Anaheim, Los Angeles, San	1,538		36
	Jose, San Francisco, Sacramento	1,000		
Level 3	San Francisco			3
Linkatel	Anaheim			1
MCI/WorldCom	San Diego, Los Angeles, Orange County,	773		51
Wen wondern	Bakersfield, Fresno, San Jose, Santa Clara,	'''		
	Sunnyvale, Milpitas, Palo Alto, Oakland,			
	San Francisco, Stockton, Sacramento			
MediaOne	PLANNED: Anaheim, Fresno. Long			12
	Beach, Los Angeles, Santa Monica			
MGC Communications	Los Angeles, Ontario			
	PLANNED: San Diego, Palm Springs,			25
	Orange County, San Francisco			
NEXTLINK	South San Francisco Bay	50		13
	Los Angeles, Orange County, Santa Ana	248	6	
PACWEST				30
Time Warner	San Diego		 	2
WinStar	Los Angeles, San Diego, San Francisco			12
KANSAS				
AT&T/TCG	Kansas City	611	205	11
Birch Telecom	PLANNED: Dodge City, Emporia.			
Birch Telecom	Garden City, Hutchinson, Kansas City,			
	Lawrence, Manhattan, Salina, Topeka,			
	Wichita			
e.spire	Kansas City, Johnson County	33		3
MCI/WorldCom	Kansas City	42		$\frac{3}{2}$
Multimedia Hyperion	Wichita	130		1
MISSOURI	1	1		
AT&T/TCG	St Louis	203	72	<u> </u>
AT&I/ICU	St. Louis Kansas City	203	12	 *
Birch Telecom			5	
Differ refecon	PLANNED: St. Joseph		- 3	1
Distant Talanani	PLANNED: St. Louis, Kansas City	470	76	
Digital Teleport	Columbia, Fulton, Jefferson City, St. Louis	470	76	1
	Mexico	5		
e.spire	Kansas City	5		2
Intermedia	Chesterfield, Manchester, St. Louis	(0)		3
MCI/WorldCom	Kansas City, Springfield	68		5
	St. Louis		38	

CLEC	Location	Route Miles	Buildings On-Net	Switches (statewide)
WinStar	PLANNED: St. Louis			1
NEVADA				
MCI/WorldCom	Reno	32	33	1
OKLAHOMA				
Cox	Oklahoma City	120	38	1
Dobson Communications	Oklahoma City	400		4
e.spire	Tulsa	2		1
MCI/WorldCom	Oklahoma City, Tulsa	265	122	3
TEXAS				
Allegiance Telecom	PLANNED: Dallas (1998), Ft. Worth (1998)			3
American Telco	Houston			
AT&T/TCG	Austin, Dallas/Ft. Worth, Houston	708	230	13
Cypress	Houston			1
e.spire	Austin, Corpus Christi, El Paso. Dallas/Ft. Worth	207	55	8
Fibrcom	San Antonio			1
Frontier	Dallas			1
Geotek	San Antonio			4
GST	PLANNED: Dallas, Houston, El Paso	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1
Golden Harbor			<u> </u>	27
ICG	Austin, Corpus Christi, Dallas, San Antonio	260		7
Intermedia	Dallas, Houston			3
Kingsgate				
KMC	Corpus Christi	34	5	1
Level 3	Dallas, Houston			2
MCI/WorldCom	Austin, Corpus Christi, Dallas, Ft. Worth, Houston, San Antonio, Waco El Paso	693	186 (MFS) 125 (Brooks)	19
OpTel	Houston	400	35	5
Taylor Communications	Austin, Dallas, Houston, San Antonio	700		4
Teligent	PLANNED: Austin, Dallas/Ft. Worth, El Paso, Houston, San Antonio			5
Time Warner	Austin, Houston, San Antonio	700	74	2
U.S. Long Distance	Houston, San Antonio, Waco	700	/ 7	1
WinStar	Dallas/Ft. Worth			5
	PLANNED: Houston (1998)			

Sources: All switch figures are taken from the Bellcore LERG (July 1998) database. The LERG is based on information that is provided to Bellcore by incumbent and competitive local carriers. LERG switch counts do not always agree with counts from other sources, including public statements by the carriers themselves. Some of these discrepancies are due to the blurring of definitional lines between switching entities and rate centers. The bright line that once distinguished central office switches from other switching equipment has been fading as a new generation of remote switches and remote digital terminals (RDTs) have emerged with limited switching capabilities. Data shown here include all switches designated as "local" and as "CLEC" or "CAP" in the database. Arkansas - New Paradigm Resources Group and Connecticut Research, The 1998 CLEC Report: Annual Report on Local Telecommunications Competition, 9th ed. (1998) ("Connecticut Research") (e.spire); Affidavit of Michael L. Montgomery, attached to SBC Arkansas 271 Application (Ark. PUC filed Feb. 24, 1998) (ALLTEL, Entergy Hyperion, MCI/WorldCom). California - Connecticut Research (Allegiance, Focal Comm., GST, ICG, Level 3, MediaOne, MGC Comm., NEXTLINK); Affidavit of George R. Elizondo, attached to SBC California 271 Application. (Cal. PUC filed Mar. 31, 1998) (AT&T/TCG/TCI, Cox, ELI, FirstWorld, ICG, MCI/WorldCom); Testimony of J. Gary Smith, attached to SBC Kansas - Connecticut Research (AT&T/TCG, Birch); SBC Kansas 271 Application (Kan. PUC filed Feb. 17, 1998) (MCI/WorldCom); Testimony of J. Gary Smith, attached to SBC Kansas 271 Application (Kan. Corp. Comm. filed Feb. 17, 1998) (AT&T/TCG, e.spire, MHT). Missouri - Connecticut Research. Nevada - Connecticut Research Oklahoma - Affidavit of George R. Elizondo, attached to SBC Oklahoma 271 Application. Texas - Connecticut Research (Allegiance, KMC, WinStar); Affidavit of Michael L. Montgomery, attached to SBC Texas 271 Application (Tex. PUC filed Mar. 2, 1998) (American Telco, AT&T/TCG, e.spire, ICG, Golde

CLEC	Location	Route Miles	Buildings	Switches
CLEC	Location	Route Miles	On-Net	(statewide
ILLINOIS				
21st Century	UNDER CONSTRUCTION: Chicago			1
	(1998)			
Allegiance Telecom	PLANNED: Chicago (1998)			l
AT&T/TCG	Chicago	750+	200	29
e.spire	PLANNED: Chicago			
Focal Communications	Chicago			1
Frontier	Chicago			1
Intermedia	Chicago			2
Level 3	Chicago			1
MCI/WorldCom	Chicago	230	35-40 (MCI) 250 (MFS)	10
McLeod	Mattoon (including Champaign, Decatur,	1,200		1
	Peoria, and Springfield markets)			
	PLANNED: Rockford			
MFN	PLANNED: Chicago	50		
MGC Communications	PLANNED: Chicago			1
NEXTLINK	Chicago	40	25+	2
QST Communications	Peoria	104		
	PLANNED: Bartonville, Pekin,			7
	Springfield (1998)			
Teligent	PLANNED: Chicago			l
WinStar	Chicago		30	1
			(100 by YE98)	
INDIANA				
AT&T/TCG	Indianapolis (including Fishers, Carmel,	199	100-200	3
	and Greenwood)			
	Gary			7
Intermedia	Indianapolis			1
McLeod	PLANNED: Indianapolis			2
MCI/WorldCom	Indianapolis	160	35	4
Teligent	PLANNED: Indianapolis			
Time Warner	Indianapolis	300	150	1
US Xchange	PLANNED: Southbend, Elkhart, Ft.	230	43	
	Wayne, Bloomington, Evansville,			
	Lafayette, Kokomo, Marion (1998)]
WinStar	PLANNED (5 channel-capacity – 500			
	MHz)			
MICHIGAN				
AT&T/TCG	Detroit	300	50	12
BRE Communications	Flint	228	80	2
dba Phone Michigan	PLANNED: Bay City, Midland, Saginaw	78		
	PLANNED: Fenton, Flushing, Grand			1
	Blanc, Holly, Lapier, Marine City, New]
	Baltimore, Port Huron, and St. Clair			
Climax	Battle Creek/Kalamazoo	10		
Coast to Coast	PLANNED: Ann Arbor, Detroit, Flint,			1
	Grand Rapids, Lansing, Livonia, Oakland			
	County, Port Huron, Troy			
Level 3	Detroit			1
MCI/WorldCom	Detroit	180-210	16 (MCI) 115-125 (MFS)	12
	Grand Rapids	300	400	
	Lansing	55	55	
Teligent	PLANNED: Detroit- 400 MHz license			

CLEC	Location	Route Miles	Buildings On-Net	Switches (statewide)	
WinStar PLANNED: Detroit (1998-1999) (600 MHz bandwidth coverage in Battle Creek, Grand Rapids, Lansi Saginaw)				1	
ОНЮ					
AT&T/TCG	Cleveland PLANNED: Columbus	146	35	17	
Cablevision Lightpath	PLANNED: Cleveland (1998)				
Frontier	Cleveland			1	
ICG	Columbus, Dayton, Ohio intercity facilities Cleveland/Akron	431 170-220	52	5	
MCI/WorldCom	Cleveland, Toledo	105	35 (MCI) 30 (MFS) 5 (Brooks)	6	
NEXTLINK	Cleveland, Akron, Columbus	400	13+	6	
Time Warner	Columbus	500	90+	2	
WinStar	Columbus PLANNED: Cleveland (1998-1999)			1	
WISCONSIN		A			
AT&T/TCG	Milwaukee	250	40	5	
KMC	Madison/Middletown	32	20	1	
MCI/WorldCom	Milwaukee	20+	7+	1	
McLeod	PLANNED: Madison, Green Bay, Milwaukee				
TDS Metro	Madison PLANNED: Appleton, Green Bay (1998)	60		2	
Teligent	PLANNED: Milwaukee			1	
Time Warner	Milwaukee	250-350	120	1	
US Xchange	Appleton, Neenah, Osh Kosh PLANNED: De Pere, Green Bay, Madison, Milwaukee	26 161	6 17	5	
WinStar	Milwaukee			1	

Includes interexchange facilities.

Sources: All switch figures are taken from the Bellcore LERG (July 1998) database. The LERG is based on information that is provided to Bellcore by incumbent and competitive local carriers. LERG switch counts do not always agree with counts from other sources, including public statements by the carriers themselves. Some of these discrepancies are due to the blurring of definitional lines between switching entities and rate centers. The bright line that once distinguished central office switches from other switching equipment has been fading as a new generation of remote switches and remote digital terminals (RDTs) have emerged with limited switching capabilities. Data shown here include all switches designated as "local" and as "CLEC" or "CAP" in the database. **Rlinois** - New Paradigm Resources Group and Connecticut Research, **The 1998 CLEC Report** Annual Report on Local Telecommunications Competition,** 9th ed. (1998) ("Connecticut Research") (21st Century, Allegiance, e.spire, Focal Comm., Intermedia, McLeod, MFN, MGC Comm., QST, Teligent); Initial Brief of Ameritech Illinois at fi. 11, Investigation Concerning Illinois Bell Telephone Company's Compliance with Section 271(c) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, No. 96-0404 (Ill. Commerce Commission filed Feb. 5, 1998) (MFS); S. Levine, **TCI Turns on Telephony in Chicago, Telephony, Jan. 20, 1997 (TCI); Quality Strategies, **Ameritech CAP/CLEC Network Descriptions, First Quarter 1998, Apr. 15, 1998 ("Quality Strategies") (AT&T/TCG, MCLWorldCom, McLeod, NEXTLINK, WinStar); Teligent Press Release, **Teligent Reports First Quarter Financial Results, May 12, 1998 (Teligent). **Indiana - Connecticut Research (AT&T/TCG, Intermedia, McLeod, Teligent). **Michigan - Connecticut Research (BRE, Climax, Coast to Coast, McLWorldCom, Teligent Virategies (AT&T/TCG, MCLWorldCom, Ohio - Connecticut Research (BRE, Climax, Coast to Coast, McLWorldCom, Teligent Virategies (AT&T/TCG, Cablevision Lightpath, ICG, Intermedia, WinStar); Quality Strategies (AT&T/TCG, ICG, MCL/Wo

Table 13. Cable Modem Operators in SBC and Ameritech Regions						
State	Cable Operator	Area				
Arkansas	Conway Corp.	Conway				
California	Avenue Cable TV	Ventura				
	Charter Communications	Riverside, Pasadena				
	Coast Cablevision	San Mateo				
	Cox	Orange County, San Diego				
	Daniels Cablevision	Encinitas				
	GTE	Ventura				
	Horizon Cable	Point Reyes				
	MediaOne	Los Angeles				
	Palo Alto Cable Co-Op	Palo Alto				
	Ponderosa Cable	Danville				
	San Bruno Municipal Cable	San Bruno				
	TCI	Fremont, Castro Valley, Sunnyvale				
	Time Warner	San Diego				
Illinois	21st Century	Chicago				
	MediaOne	Chicago				
	TCI	Arlington Heights				
	Wedgewood	Chicago				
Indiana	Insight Communications (test)	Indianapolis				
Michigan	Bresnan Communications	Iron Mountain, Escanaba, Houghton				
	Comcast	Detroit				
	Horizon Cable	Central Michigan				
	MediaOne	Ann Arbor, Suburban Detroit				
	TCI	East Lansing				
Missouri	Charter Communications (test)	St. Louis				
Ohio	Adelphia	Western Reserve, Macedonia				
	Coaxial Communications	Columbus				
	Fanch Communications	Bowling Green				
	Time Warner	Akron, Canton, Columbus, Youngstown				
Oklahoma	CommuniComm Services	Durant				
Texas	Cablevision of Lake Travis	Lake Travis				
	TCA Cable (test)	Amarillo				
	Time Warner	El Paso				
Wisconsin	Marcus Cable (test)	Eau Claire				

Sources: Cable Datacom News, Commercial Cable Modem Launches in North America, at http://cabledatacomnews.com/cmic7.htm (July 1, 1998); Cable Datacom News, Select Cable Modem Market Trials in North America, at http://cabledatacomnews.com/cmic8.htm (June 20, 1998); Ameritech internal data.

Table 14. Resources of Major Global Players						
Company	Revenues ¹ (in \$ billions)	Access Lines ² (in millions)				
SBC/Ameritech	43	56				
AT&T's WorldPartners	141	226 ³				
Sprint's Global One	89	89				
MCI/WorldCom	27	28				
Unisource ⁴	26	18				
NTT (Japan)	71	61				
Deutsche Telekom	41	44				
France Telecom	26	34				
British Telecom	26	28				
Telecom Italia	25	25				

¹Revenues for alliances (WorldPartners – 1996, Global One – 1995, Unisource – 1995) are totals of parent companies ²Figures for MCL/WorldCom are based on presubscribed long-distance access lines. Figures for global alliance access lines include local access lines or, where applicable, presubscribed access lines of alliance partners ³Figures for KDD and CAT are not available ⁴Unisource is also part of the WorldPartners alliance. Sources: WorldPartners: 1996 annual report (AT&T), 1997 annual reports (Indosat, PLDT, Telecom New Zealand,

Sources: WorldPartners: 1996 annual report (AT&T), 1997 annual reports (Indosat, PLDT, Telecom New Zealand, Telkom South Africa), 1998 annual reports (Hong Kong Telecom, Telecom New Zealand). G Staple, ed. TeleGeoraphy 1997-98 at 94 (1997) (KDD, Singapore Telecom, Telstra, Hong Kong Telecom, Bezeq International, Telekom Malaysia, Telebras, VSNL), S Pique, et. al., Bear, Stearns & Co., Inc., Rpt. No. 2648757, Latin American Conglomerates – Industry Report, at *64 (Mar. 30, 1998) (Alestra, based on estimated 1996 revenue), Dun & Bradstreet, Business Information Report, Korea Telecommunication Authority, DUNS No. 68-777-8480 (July 20, 1998) (Korea Telecom), Dun & Bradstreet, Business Report, Communications Authority of Thailand, DUNS No. 65-974-7836 (Sept. 1997) (CAT); Taiwan Regulations: Cap on Foreign Equity in Telecoms to Rise, EIU ViewsWire, Aug. 6, 1997 (CHT-I), FCC, Long Distance Market Shares, First Quarter 1998, at Table 2.1 (June 1998) ("Long Distance Market Shares") (AT&T, Sprint); M Miller, Soegen-Crosby Securities Pte., Ltd, Rpt. No. 2669067, Singapore Telecom – Company Report, at *6 (June 1, 1998) (Singapore Telecom). OECD, Communications Outlook 1997., Vol. 1, at Table 1.1 (1997) (PTT Netherlands, Telia, Swiss PTT, Telstra), Korea Telecom, Streigths, at http://www.kt.co.kr/english/company/majorwork_right1 htm (Korea Telecom); Barclays de Zoete Wedd Securities, Rpt. No. 1776127, Bezeq Telecom – Company Report (Aug. 8, 1996) (Bezeq), J. Chessher, Schroder Securities (Asia), Ltd., Rpt. No. 2616348, Taiwanese Telecoms' Murky Deregulation—Industry Report, at *5 (Nov. 1, 1997) (CHT lines); M. Shuper, et al., Morgan Stanley, Dean Witter, Rpt. No. 2573288, Telekom Malaysia – Company Report, at *2 (Aug. 6, 1997) (Telecom Malaysia); L. Zurlo, et al., Credit Suisse First Boston Corp., Rpt. No. 3313101, Telecom/Updated Latin American Models/Valuations – Industry Report, at *6 (Dec. 9, 1997) (Telebras), P. Mubayi, Lehman Brothers Asia, Rpt. No. 1784726. Videsh Sanchar Nigam Ltd. – Company Report, at *1 (Aug. 30,

SBC Investment				
	(services provided)			
SE .	15.1 (17.5			
	local, long-distance, wirelessecurity services	ess, directory,		
	local, long-distance, wirel			
Cegetel (15 percent) local, long-distance, wireless Societe Française de Radiotelephone (10 percent) wireless		÷ .		
	WLW (100 percent)			
		rtising		
		ess cable		
		ess, cubie,		
AUREC Group (50 percent) AMDOCS (23.4 percent) long distance consortium (22 percent) cable, publishing, billing and customer service software, long distance				
	NetCom (19.7 percent) wireless			
diAX (40 percent) local, long-distance				
TeleWest (15 percent) cable				
erica				
VTR (44.1 percent) local, long-distance, wireless, cable	3			
Teléfonos de México (9.6 percent) local, long-distance, wireless				
Shinsegi Mobile (7.8 percent) wireless				
TransAsia Telecommunications (20 percent) wireless				
MTN of South Africa wireless Telkom SA (18 percent)				
	Cegetel (15 percent) local, long-distance, wireless Societe Francaise de Radiotelephone (10 percent) wireless AUREC Group (50 percent) AMDOCS (23.4 percent) long distance consortium (22 percent) cable, publishing, billing and customer service software, long distance diAX (40 percent) local, long-distance TeleWest (15 percent) cable erica VTR (44.1 percent) local, long-distance, wireless, cable Teléfonos de México (9.6 percent) local, long-distance, wireless TransAsia Telecommunications (20 percent) wireless MTN of South Africa wireless	Services provided Services provided		

Company	Markets								
Company	Europe/Middle East	Central/South America	Asia/Pacific	Other					
SBC/Ameritech	Belgium (Belgacom) Denmark (TeleDanmark) France* (CEGETEL, Societe Francaise de Radiotelephone) Germany* (WLW) Hungary (MATAV) Israel (incl. AUREC, AMDOCS) Italy* Norway (NetCom) Spain* Switzerland (diAX) U.K.* (TeleWest)	Brazil* Chile (VTR S.A.) Mexico (Teléfonos de México)	 Australia* Hong Kong* Japan* Singapore* South Korea (Shinsegi Mobile) Taiwan (TransAsia Telecom) 	South Africa (MTN of South Africa. Telkom SA)					
T 0 T1		Y - D - H (T I I I I	Australia (Telstra)	La Canada (ATST Canada					
AT&T's World Partners	 Israel (Bezeq International) Netherlands (PTT Telecom) Sweden (Telia) Switzerland (Swiss PTT) 	Brazil (Telebras) Mexico (Alestra)	 Australia (Telstra) Hong Kong (Hong Kong Telecom) India (VSNL) Indonesia (Indosat) Japan (KDD) Malaysia (Telekom Malaysia) New Zealand (TCNZ) Philippines (PLDT) Singapore (Singapore Telecom) South Korea (Korea Telecom) Taiwan (CHT-1) Thailand (CAT) 	 Canada (AT&T Canada South Africa (Telkom SA) 					
WorldCom/MCI	Belgium France Germany Ireland Italy Netherlands Portugal (Telefónica) Spain (Telefónica) Sweden Switzerland U.K.	 Argentina (Telefónica) Belize (Belize Telecom) Brazil (Telefónica) Chile (Telefónica) Mexico (Avantel) Peru (Telefónica) 	Australia Hong Kong Japan New Zealand (CLEAR) Singapore South Korea	Canada (Stentor)					
Sprint's Global One	France (France Telecom)Germany (Deutsche Telekom)								
Cable & Wireless	Belgium Bulgaria (MOBIKOM) France (Bouygues Telecom) Ireland Italy Latvia (Lattelekom) Portugal (Eastecnica) Russia (Nakhodka Telecom, PLD, SakhalinSviaz, Sakhalin Telecom, ST Mobile) Spain Switzerland U.K. (Flexible Resource, One 2 One, Petersburg Long Distance)	Anguilla Antigua & Barbuda Ascension Islands Barbados (Barbados Communication Services, Barbados External Telecom, Barbados Tel., Digital Information Sys.) Bermuda British Virgin Islands Cayman Islands Dominica Falkland Islands Grenada (Grentel) Jamaica (Jamaica Digiport International) Montserrat Panama St. Helena St. Kitts and Nevis (SKANTEL) St. Lucia St. Vincent & the Grenadines Trinidad & Tobago (TSTT) Turks & Caicos	 Australia (Optus) China Fiji (Fintel) Hong Kong (Asia Satellite Telecom, Hong Kong Telecom) India Indonesia (PT Daya Mitra Malindo) Japan (IDC) Korea Macau (CTM) Pakistan (Paktel) Philippines (ETPI. Oceanic Wireless Network) Singapore (Mobile One) Solomon Islands (Solomon Islands Telekom) Taiwan Thailand (Compunet) Tonga Vanuatu (Telecom Vanuatu) Vietnam 	Bahrain (Batelco) Diego Garcia Lebanon Maldives (DHIRAAGU South Africa (M-TEL. MTN) Seychelles Yemen (Teleyemen)					

*Markets targeted by SBC/Ameritech Business Plan.

Sources: SBC/Ameritech: SBC Investor Briefing, May 11, 1998; SBC/Ameritech Business Plan; SBC 1997 Annual Report; SBC, About SBC: International Operations, at http://www.sbc.com/ About/international.html; Ameritech internal information. WorldPartners: WorldPartners Press Release, First African Carrier Joins WorldPartners Association, May 8, 1998. MCI/WorldCom: WorldCom Press Release, Telefónica Partners with WorldCom and MCI, Mar. 9, 1998; International Telecommunication Union, World Telecommunication Development Report, 1996/1997 ed. at Table 3.4 (1997); WorldCom Press Release, Combined Company Fact Sheet, www.wcom.com/press/111097_2.html. Global One; Global One, Key Facts About Global One, at http://www.global-one.net/en/press/facts.html. Cable & Wireless: Cable & Wireless. Businesses by Region, at http://www.cwplc.com/business/nonjava.html. Unisource: Unisource Press Release, Unisource and Telefonica Agree Terms for Termination, Dec. 15, 1997.

6			Table 17. National Commitment to Provide Competitive Local Service Company Number of SBC's Number of SBC's Comments						
Company	30 Out-of-Region MSAs Served	Top 20 In-Region MSAs Served	Comments						
SBC/Ameritech	30	20	 Will provide business and residential service to 30 out-of-region markets, all of which are in the top 55 Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSA). Plans to begin offering business service in 1999 and residential service in 2000. 						
AT&T/TCG/TCI	22	14	 Operates networks within 40 different MSAs. AT&T has abandoned the provision of nationwide local service although it acquisition of TCI may alter these plans. TCI provides local service in California, Connecticut and Illinois¹. 						
MCI/WorldCom	23	19	 WorldCom, MCI, MFS and Brooks Fiber combined operate networks in 66 different MSAs. WorldCom has 12 more networks planned or under construction. WorldCom plans to operate as a local wholesaler of business service MCI has scaled back its plans to enter local markets 						
Sprint	0	0	 Sprint recently announced the launch of its Integrated On-Demand Networ (ION). An initial roll out to large businesses will begin later this year. The service will be generally available to businesses in mid-1999, with consumer availability late in 1999. Sprint will build its own broadband networks in 36 major markets in 1998 and 24 additional markets in 1999. These networks will allow Sprint ION to pass 70 percent of all large businesses nationwide. To serve small business and residential customers who may not have access to these networks, Sprint will lease broadband facilities, such as DSL, from other carriers.² 						
Bell Atlantic	0	0	Bell Atlantic does not offer out-of-region local service.						
GTE	0	l	 In March 1997, GTE announced that it would begin offering Internet service in 60 markets outside of its region. GTE intends to become a "leading national provider of telecommunications service," offering a bundle of local, long distance, Internet, and wireless services over an advanced data network GTE has CLEC approval in 9 states.⁴ 						
BellSouth	1	0	 BellSouth competes with Sprint in Orlando, FL, and the company has been approved to provide local service to an out-of-region section of Florida and in Indiana. BellSouth, through its subsidiary BellSouth BSE, is seeking approval to become a CLEC in eight states. 						
U S West	0	0	 U.S. West has approval to offer local service in 36 states and plans to begin offering service this year.⁵ 						
Time Warner	6	5	Time Warner offers CLEC service to business customers in 19 cities.						
Other CLECs	No CLEC serves more than 7	No CLEC serves more than []	 Market reach varies among the top independent CLECs, although none serve more than 17 of the top 55 MSAs. Intermedia serves just 10 MSAs, none of which are in the top 10. Intermedia's networks are scattered across cities in the southeastern United States, and the company resells local services in cities along the east coast. ICG serves 19 MSAs, 3 of which are in the top 10. The company prides itself on its regional network "clusters" and calls itself "a leading regional CLEC."⁶ McLeodUSA's networks are currently in 9 of the 14 Midwest and Rocky Mountain states it plans to enter. GST serves the western United States including Hawaii and parts of Arizona, California, Idaho, New Mexico, Texas, and Washington. WinStar serves business customers in 12 of the top 15 MSAs through broadband wireless circuits on the 38 GHz frequency. e.spire operates 32 networks in 28 MSAs. The company's strategy has been "to focus on second-tier southern cities, leaving the big cities to AT&T Corp., MCI Communications Corp. and other giant competitors." Hyperion serves mostly cities in the East and South. 						
Other Cable Providers			 Most cable operators have abandoned cable telephony to offer high-speed Internet access instead. Cablevision offers telephony in Long Island, NY and Connecticut. Cox Communications offers telephony in Orange County, CA; Omaha, NE; and Phoenix, AZ. MediaOne, which broke its direct ties to US West in June 1998⁸, introduced its local service in Atlanta, GA earlier this year and in Los Angeles just recently.⁹ 						

¹L. Hall, MediaOne Takes on Its Ex-Parent: US West Battle in Minn., Electronic Media, May 25, 1998, at 4. ²Sprint Press Release, Sprint Unveils Revolutionary Network, June 2, 1998. ³S. Masud, GTE Pushes Dial-Up Internet Service, Computer Reseller News, March 17, 1997. ⁴M. Rockwell, Big Telcos Start Turf Wars – GTE and Bell Companies Invade Each Other's Territories, InternetWeek, Nov. 3, 1997, at T1. ⁵US WEST Press Release, US West 'Poised and Ready' To Offer Long Distance; Federal Court Ruling Will Accelerate US WEST Market Entry, Jan. 2, 1998. ⁶IGC Communications, Corporate Info, at http://www.icgcomm.com/telecom/corpinfo/AboutUs.htm, 1998. ⁷M. Mills, E.spire Rings Up Sales Against the Bells, Washington Post, May 25, 1998 at F05. ⁸MediaOne Press Release, MediaOne Group Becomes Independent Company, June 12, 1998. ⁹MediaOne Press Release, MediaOne Begins Deployment of Telephone Service to Single-Family Homes, Jan. 27, 1998.

Company	Residential Commitment
SBC/Ameritech	Plans to provide residential service to 30 major out-of-region markets by 2003.
AT&T/TCG/TCI	The partnership between AT&T and TCG is "primarily focused on the business market."
	Recently announced plans to first target local service for big business, then small-to-medium sized businesses, then multiple-dwelling units; has expanded local call access for business in four states. ² Its acquisition of TCI may make AT&T a more viable residential competitor but it is not yet clear what services the new company will offer.
MCI/WorldCom	 Although MCI maintains its existing residential service, it now "is focusing its efforts mainly on downtown, high-end customers."
	 "WorldCom's stated strategy is to become a premier provider of the full array of communications services to business, government, and eventually residential end- users."
Sprint	Sprint plans to offer bundled voice and data service via resale to residents by late-1999, but "the primary residential users will be those who already spend heavily on communications."
Bell Atlantic	Plans center on providing competitive service to independent territory in in-region states
GTE	 "GTE says it will first aim for small businesses with one to 50 employees and 'highend' residential customers"
BellSouth	 Does not offer out-of-region residential wireline service (competes with GTE in-region).
U S West	 U S West is splitting from its MediaOne affiliate, which provides residential service in Atlanta and Los Angeles.
	• U S West still has CLEC approval in 27 states.
Time Warner	 "Even though Time Warner pegged itself as a company that would bring competition to the residential telephone market locally, it announced plans in 1996 to indefinitely postpone such ambitions."
Other CLECs	Most CLECs focus on business customers' data and voice needs.
	"To date, the facilities-based model created by the early competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs) has primarily targeted business customers."
	 "Intermedia's customers include a broad range of business and government end users and IXCs."9
	• "Neither NEXTLINK nor ICG is targeting the local residential market" 10
	 Some cable-based and smaller CLECs are targeting high-end business customers.
	"Cedar Rapids, Iowa-based McLeodUSA also is going after small business, but it is one of the very few companies to pursue residential business as well;" McLeod
	traditionally has "been focused on business users." 12
	"[e.spire] [is] one of the few companies that has been competing with BellSouth in Georgia for residential customers using local service;" nevertheless, "[t]he company's
17 Schiller TVG Regins P	focus will be the business market, not residential customers" 14

¹Z. Schiller, TCG Begins Phone Service For Cleveland Business Customers. The Plain Dealer, Jan. 13, 1998, at 10C (quoting AT&T Chairman C. Michael Armstrong). ² J. Keller, AT&T Network Allows Access to Local Calls, Wall Street Journal, June 9, 1998, at B25. ³M. Rockwell, Local Services Competition Isn't Hitting Home – AT&T's Acquisition of Telepor Reinforces Shift Towards Corporate Customers, Internet Week, Feb. 2, 1998, at T13. ³New Paradigm Resources Group and Connecticut Research, The 1998 CLEC Report: Annual Report on Local Telecommunications Competition, at MFS-WorldCom – 2 (1998). ⁵C. Glanton, Sprint Plans Landmark Upgrade, Yahoo! News, Jun. 2, 1998. ⁵J. Bounds, GTE Prepares to Invade Local Access Service Turf, Dallas Business Journal, Oct. 17, 1997, at 24 ("We think that across America, in this category, these customers are underserved," said Rick Crain, vice president of product development and marketing). ⁷R. Sekhri, Time Warner Network May Carry Digital TV, Internet Local Upgrade Unlikely to Include Phone Service, Cincinnati Business Courier, Mar. 20, 1998, at 6. ⁸M. Rockwell, Local Services Competition Isn't Hitting Home – AT&T's Acquisition of Teleport Reinforces Shift Towards Corporate Customers, Internet Week, Feb. 2, 1998, at T13. ⁹Connecticut Research at Intermedia – 2. ¹⁰J. Clary, Fresh Face May Help BellSouth Dial Long Distance, Nashville Business Journal, Apr. 14, 1997, at 1. ¹¹A. Scmitt, Calling All Companies Local Phone Companies are Ready to Woo Customers in Business Arena, Chicago Daily Herald, Feb. 5, 1998, at 1. ¹²E. Mooney, McLeod Plans Notes Sale to Fund Network Bailout, Radio Comm. Report, July 21, 1997, at 41. ¹³M. Kannell, Today's Topic: Technology, Atlanta Constitution, Jan. 14, 1998, at 02B. ¹⁴S. Schafer, Phone Provider Means Business, Tulsa World, June 12, 1997, at E1.

Table 19. Facilities to Provide Competitive Local Service								
Company	Networks (Planned)			<u> </u>	Comments			
SBC/Ameritech	(30)	(63)	(3,000)					
AT&T/TCG/TCI	66 (8)	596	9,474		TCG's networks are concentrated in downtown business areas and sometimes extend to outlying business districts. AT&T has minimal local networks, but it has equipped its 4E switches to provide Digital Link local service. TCI is currently testing digital telephony over its HFC in West Hartford, CT and Arlington Heights, IL. Its viability as a local provider is dependent upon the development of Internet Telephony.			
MCI/WorldCom	176 (12)	280	11,261	•	The networks of Brooks Fiber, MFS, MCI and WorldCom overlap in 29 cities.			
Sprint	(60)	The said of the sa	SHE CHARLES		Sprint will build its own broadband networks in 36 major markets in 1998 and 24 additional markets in 1999. These networks will allow Sprint ION to pass 70 percent of all large businesses nationwide. To serve small business and residential customers who may not have access to these networks, Sprint will lease broadband facilities, such as DSL, from other carriers. ³			
Bell Atlantic	0 (0)	0	0	•	Bell Atlantic has no public plans to offer competitive facilities- based local service out-of-region.			
GTE	2 (0)	22	0	•	GTE operates as a CLEC only in those areas where it already has extensive ILEC networks.			
BellSouth	0 (0)	1	0	•	BellSouth BSE, a BellSouth subsidiary established for out-of- region local services, will initially resell local exchange services to business customers.			
U S West	0 (0)	0	0	•	U S West has no public plans to offer competitive facilities-based local service.			
Time Warner	17 (2)	22	5,321 (299)					
Intermedia	10 (0)	47	762	•	"Instead of building costly fiber-optic networksIntermedia focuses on switching technology."			
ICG	19 (0)	51	3,021 (1,117)		ICG is partnering with utility companies to expand its networks and services. ICG's networks are deployed primarily in Western states.			
McLeod	8 (3)	3	4,900 (2,000) ⁵	•	McLeod has announced plans to complete 36 additional fiber rings and 2,000 more route miles in 1998. ⁶			
GST	36 (12)	36	5,107					
WinStar	24 (6) ⁷	47	n/a	•	WinStar's network consists of broadband wireless circuits on the 38 GHz frequency.			
ELI	5 (4)	10	2,087	•	ELI's networks are only in the western part of the United States.			
e.spire	32 (1)	42	1,061		"[e.spire] has built an intercity broadband ATM network that allows the company to provide a wide variety of voice and data communications services at a reduced cost."			
Hyperion	18 (3)	29	4,326					
NEXTLINK	15 (5)	32	1,897 (237)					
MGC Communications	3 (15)9	50	n/a	•	MGC plans to build facilities in 18 markets by the end of 1999. 10			
Teligent	$(30)^{11}$	26	n/a	•	Teligent plans to provide wireless broadband services at 24			

¹All switch figures are taken from the Bellcore LERG (July 1998) database. The LERG is based on information that is provided to Bellcore by incumbent and competitive local carriers. LERG switch counts do not always agree with counts from other sources, including public statements by the carriers themselves. Some of these discrepancies are due to the blurring of definitional lines between switching entities and rate centers. The bright line that once distinguished central office switches from other switching equipment has been fading as a new generation of remote switches and remote digital terminals (RDTs) have emerged with limited switching capabilities. Data shown here include all switches designated as "local" and as "CLEC" or "CAP" in the LERG. ²All figures from New Paradigm Resources Group and Connecticut Research, The 1998 CLEC Report: Annual Report on Local Telecommunications Competition, 9th ed. (1998) ("Connecticut Research"), unless otherwise noted. ³Sprint Press Release, Sprint Univeils Revolutionary Network, June 2, 1998. ⁴R. Krause, Switches Light Telecom Firm's Path, Investor's Business Daily, Apr. 15, 1998 at A9. ³McLeod Press Release, McLeodUSA Reports Continued Growth and Margin Improvement for First Quarter 1998. Apr. 29, 1998. ⁶Id. ⁷WinStar Press Release, WinStar Adds "New CLEC Markets, May 7, 1998. ⁸Connecticut Research, at ACSI - 2. ⁹MGC Communications, Furman Selz Issues "Strong Buy" Recommendation on MGC, June 30, 1998, at http://www.mgccom.com/index-3news.html. ¹⁰Id. ¹¹Teligent Inc., at http://www.teligentinc.com/home.html. ¹²Id.

			Open Entry Policies		
Country	Local	Long Distance	International	Cellular	Cable
United States	1996	1978 (<u>Execunet</u>)	1982	Analog: duopoly Digital: open	1992: end of exclusive franchise 1996: telcos permitted
Canada Bell Canada privatized 1987	1994 1997: cable telephony	1992	U.S./Canada route open Oct. 1998: open (other traffic)	Analog: duopoly Digital: duopoly	1995 1998: telcos permitted
Japan NTT privatized 1985	1988	1987	1987	Analog: open Digital: open	1993
United Kingdom BT privatized 1984 (partial) to 1997 (full)	1991 1992: cable telephony	1984: duopoly 1996: open	1984: duopoly 1994: resale only 1996: open	Analog: open Digital: open	1980s
Germany DT privatized 1996	1998	1998	1998	Analog: monopoly Digital: open	Pre-1995
France FT privatized 1997	1998	1998: open	1998: open	Analog: duopoly Digital: open	Pre-1988
Italy Telecom Italia privatized 1997	1998	1998	1998	Analog: open Digital: duopoly	1996°
Spain Telefonica de Espana privatized 1992 (partial) to 1997 (full)	Dec. 1998	Dec. 1998	Dec. 1998	Analog: monopoly Digital: duopoly	1995°
Mexico Telmex privatized 1990	monopoly	1996	1996	Analog: duopoly	Pre-1988 ^e
Australia Telstra privatized 1991	1997	1991: duopoly 1997: open	1992	Analog: monopoly Digital: duopoly	1992
New Zealand TCNZ privatized 1990	1987	1989	1989	Analog: open Digital: open	1989
Other Privatizations	Verde Telecom (1995), Chil Telecom (1996), Gibraltar: Guyana: Guyana Telecom. (1990, 1991), Jamaica: TOJ Mongolian Telecom. Co. (1	e: ENTEL (1987), Czech Rep Gibraltar NYNEX Communic Corp. (1991), Hungary: MAT (1989, 1990), Korea: Korea 995), Netherlands: KPN (199	dos External Telecom (1991), Belize: Be bublic: SPT Telecom (1994), Denmark: attions (1989), Greece: OTE (1996), Gw AV (1993), Indonesia: PT Indosat (1994 Telecom (1993, 1994, 1996), Latvia: La 4), Pakistan: Pak-Telecom (1994), Peru rga Distancia (1992), Sao Tome: CST (TeleDanmark (1994), Estonia: Ee inca: SOTELGUI (1996), Guinca I), PT Telkom (1995), Ircland: Tel utelkom (1994), Malaysia: Teleko : Telefonica de Peru (1994, 1996)	sti Telpfon (1993), Ghana: Ghana -Bissau: Guine Telecom (1989), lecom Eireann (1996), Isracl: Beze m Malaysia (1990, 1993), Mongol), Portugal: Portugal Telecom
WTO Agreement	companies. Each participat liberalization and infrastruc	ing country committed to var ture. Signatories of the WTG	eement to open their markets for all bas ying foreign ownership restrictions and O, in addition to those profiled in this ta ines. Poland, Singapore, South Africa, S	to a different schedule of implem ble, include: Argentina, Chile, H	entation based on its current level ungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia,

Response to Item T54.

SBC is a holding company and does not directly hold any FCC licenses. SBC directly and indirectly holds interests in multiple subsidiaries that are FCC licensees. One of SBC's Directors is a citizen of Mexico. Since SBC is not a licensee nor is it applying for an FCC license, the fact that one member of its 14-member Board of Directors is a Mexican national is permissible under section 310(b)(4) of the Communications Act.¹

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 310(b)(4) (West, WESTLAW through Pub. L. No. 105-175).

FCC Form 490 Exhibit 4 Page 1 of 1

On May 18, 1998, a case entitled <u>South Austin Coalition Community Council</u>, et al. v. <u>SBC Communications Inc. and Ameritech Corporation</u>, No. 98 C 3014, was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois by four customers of Ameritech on behalf of a purported class of local telephone customers of Ameritech and SBC. The complaint alleges that the proposed merger of SBC and Ameritech violates Section 7 of the Clayton Act and seeks injunctive relief against the merger.